

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/667,440	09/22/2000	Makoto Kurihara	000583	9375	
23850	7590 07/30/2003				
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			EXAMINER		
			ZEENDER, FLORIAN M		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		\Im				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/667,440	KURIHARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. Ryan Zeender	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 a</u>	, June 2002					
_	is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>22 September 2000</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	s have been received					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/667,440

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 2, line 4, it appears "witrl" should be —with--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EP0813325A2.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by WO99/23617.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP0813325A2 in view of Bezos '163.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,440

Art Unit: 3627

EP0813325A2 discloses all the limitations of the claims except the specifics of the encryption.

Bezos teaches the specifics of encryption as claimed by applicant (see for example, Col. 2, lines 12-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify EP0813325A2 to include the encryption technology, as taught by Bezos, in order to provide a more "secure" system (See Bezos Col. 2, line 21).

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO99/23617 in view of Bezos '163.

WO99/236172 discloses all the limitations of the claims except the specifics of the encryption.

Bezos teaches the specifics of encryption as claimed by applicant (see for example, Col. 2, lines 12-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify WO99/23617 to include the encryption technology, as taught by Bezos, in order to provide a more "secure" system (See Bezos Col. 2, line 21).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,440

Art Unit: 3627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113.

F. Zeender Patent Examiner, A.U. 3627 July 25, 2003 F. ZEENDER
PATENT EXAMINER

RZ---7/24/03

Page 4